STATE OF MAINE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. 2000-707

February 7, 2001

CENTRAL MAINE POWER COMPANY Request for Approval of Special Rate Contract with Maschino & Son Lumber Company ORDER

WELCH, Chairman; NUGENT and DIAMOND, Commissioners

## **SUMMARY OF DECISION**

By this Order, we find that the Customer Service Agreement (CSA) between Central Maine Power Company (CMP) and Maschino & Son Lumber Company (Maschino) is reasonable.

## **DISCUSSION AND DECISION**

On August 17, 2000, CMP filed with this Commission a proposed CSA with Maschino. This CSA supercedes a previous CSA that provided unbundled pricing through May (granted temporary approval by Order of the Acting Director of Technical Analysis dated March 7, 2000 in Docket No. 2000-208) and a First Amendment to that CSA that provided unbundled pricing through August (granted temporary approval by Order of the Acting Director of Technical Analysis dated June 23, 2000 in Docket No. 2000-504). On September 16, 2000 the instant CSA went into effect automatically pursuant to Revised Attachment F approved by Commission Order dated July 13, 1999 in Docket No. 99-155.

We have since conducted a review of the contract terms. Based on our review, we have determined that this CSA is reasonable. Therefore, we grant final approval of this Agreement pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 703(3-A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the terms of the temporary approval, final approval is applicable to the going-forward period of the contract only. Therefore, because the CSA and First Amendment (approved in Docket Nos. 2000-208 and 2000-504, respectively) have already expired, there is no need to grant final approval of them.

Dated at Augusta, Maine, this 7<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2001.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

Dannia I. Kanahi

Dennis L. Keschl

Administrative Director

COMMISSIONERS VOTING FOR: Welch

Nugent Diamond

## NOTICE OF RIGHTS TO REVIEW OR APPEAL

5 M.R.S.A. § 9061 requires the Public Utilities Commission to give each party to an adjudicatory proceeding written notice of the party's rights to review or appeal of its decision made at the conclusion of the adjudicatory proceeding. The methods of review or appeal of PUC decisions at the conclusion of an adjudicatory proceeding are as follows:

- 1. <u>Reconsideration</u> of the Commission's Order may be requested under Section 1004 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (65-407 C.M.R.110) within 20 days of the date of the Order by filing a petition with the Commission stating the grounds upon which reconsideration is sought.
- 2. <u>Appeal of a final decision</u> of the Commission may be taken to the Law Court by filing, within 30 days of the date of the Order, a Notice of Appeal with the Administrative Director of the Commission, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(1)-(4) and the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 73, et seq.
- 3. Additional court review of constitutional issues or issues involving the justness or reasonableness of rates may be had by the filing of an appeal with the Law Court, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(5).

Note: The attachment of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the particular document may be subject to review or appeal. Similarly, the failure of the Commission to attach a copy of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the document is not subject to review or appeal.